

HomeWorks 4-5 – catching up

Problem 1.7 points. Long elements.

Prelude: Many elements of accelerators are straight – e.g. coordinate system is simply Cartesian $(x,y,s=z)$. It allows you to forget about curvilinear coordinates and use simple div and curl and Laplacian... Many of them are DC - e.g. either with constant or nearly constant EM fields. Again, Maxwell equations without time derivatives – EM static. Furthermore, many of them are also long – e.g. have a constant cross-section with transverse size much smaller than the length of the element. It means that you can drop derivatives over z . Finally, all current and charges generating field are outside of the vacuum where particles propagate – e.g. Maxwell static equations are also homogeneous – charge and current densities are zero! It should come as no surprise – everybody like to have a solvable problem to rely upon.

(a) use electro-static equations for a long uniform electric element and show that

$$\vec{E} = \vec{\nabla} \operatorname{Re} \left[a_n (x + iy)^n \right] \quad (1)$$

satisfy static Maxwell equations with a_n being a complex number. Electric elements with real a_n call regular elements (they have plane symmetry!), element with imaginary a_n are called skew .

(b) use magneto-static equations for a long uniform magnetic element

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \operatorname{Re} \left[b_n (x + iy)^n \right] \quad (2)$$

satisfy static Maxwell equations with b being a complex number. Magnetic elements with imaginary b_n call regular elements (they have plane symmetry!), element with real b_n are called skew.

(c) show that arbitrary combination of elements from (1) and (2) is also a solution of electrostatic equations.

Note: elements with various n have specific names: $n=1$ – dipole, $n=2$ – quadrupole, $n=3$ – sextupole, $n=4$ – octupole, Or $2n$ -pole element. Skew is added as needed. It also obvious that an arbitrary $2n$ -pole element can be constricted out combining a regular and a skew fields.

Problem 2. 10 points. Edge effects.

(a) We continue with Cartesian $(x,y,s=z)$ coordinates for a straight element. But now we will suggest that field in this element depends on z ;

$$\vec{E}, \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \operatorname{Re} \left[a_n(z) (x + iy)^n \right] \quad (3)$$

Show that such elements will generate terms in the field which are not a higher order multi-poles (1) or (2). Prove that a sum of higher order multi-poles with amplitudes dependent on z cannot be a solution for edge field.

(b) You proved that simple combination of field multipoles can not describe the edge of a magnet. You also learned that we can used Laplacian equation on effective field potential:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \varphi = 0$$

Let expand the potential in transverse direction while keeping arbitrary dependence along the beam propagating axis ($s=z$)

$$\varphi = \sum_{n+m=k}^{\infty} a_{nm}(z) x^n y^m$$

Derive the condition (connections) between functions $a_{nm}(z)$.

Problem 3. **8 points.** Prove what we discussed in class:

$$\det[I + \varepsilon A] = 1 + \varepsilon \cdot \text{Trace}[A] + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

where I is unit $n \times n$ matrix, A is an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix and ε is infinitesimally small real number. Term $O(\varepsilon^2)$ means that it contains second and higher orders of ε .

Hint: first, look on the diagonal elements $\prod_{m=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon a_{mm})$ first, then see what contribution to determinant comes from non-diagonal terms $a_{km}; k \neq m$.