

PHY 554

Fundamentals of Accelerator Physics

Lecture 18: Synchrotron Radiation Sources

April 8, 2020


G. Wang

There is a large number of dedicated courses on Synchrotron Radiation Sources and Their Applications. If you are interested in this topic, I would strongly recommend lectures given by Prof. D.T. Attwood at UC Berkeley, <https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~attwood/srms//>

Detailed derivation can be found from ‘Soft X-ray and Extreme Ultraviolet Radiation’ by D. Attwood, chapter 5.

SR Light Sources

- To generate IR, UV and X-ray radiation
 - From dipoles, undulators/w wigglers



VERY POPULAR
SCIENTIFIC TOOL:
With thousands of
users

LIGHT INTERACTS
with the MATTER

List of operational light sources

| Name | Country | Website |
|--|-----------|---|
| Center for the Advancement of Natural Discoveries using Light Emission | Armenia | http://www.candle.am/index.html |
| Australian Synchrotron | Australia | http://www.synchrotron.org.au |
| Laboratorio Nacional de Luz Sincrotron | Brazil | http://www.lnls.br/ |
| Canadian Light Source | Canada | http://www.lightsource.ca |
| Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility | China | http://bsrf.ihep.ac.cn/ |
| National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory | China | http://www.nsrl.ustc.edu.cn/ |
| SSRF – Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility | China | http://ssrf.sinap.ac.cn/english/ |
| Institute for Storage Ring Facilities | Denmark | http://www.isa.au.dk/ |
| European Synchrotron Radiation Facility | France | http://www.esrf.eu |
| SOLEIL | France | http://www.synchrotron-soleil.fr/ |
| Angstromquelle Karlsruhe – ANKA | Germany | http://anka.kit.edu |
| BESSY II – Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin | Germany | http://www.helmholtz-berlin.de/ |
| Dortmund Electron Storage Ring Facility | Germany | http://www.delta.tu-dortmund.de/ |
| ELSA – Electron Stretcher Accelerator | Germany | http://www-elsa.physik.uni-bonn.de/elsa-facility_en.html |
| Metrology Light Source | Germany | http://www.ptb.de/mls/ |
| PETRA III at DESY | Germany | http://photon-science.desy.de |
| Centre for Advanced Technology | India | http://www.cat.ernet.in/technology/accel/indus/index.html |
| Iranian Light Source Facility | Iran | http://ilsf.ipm.ac.ir/ |
| DAFNE | Italy | http://web.infn.it/Dafne_Light/ |
| Elettra Synchrotron Light Laboratory | Italy | http://www.elettra.eu |
| Aichi Synchrotron Radiation Center | Japan | http://www.astf-kha.jp/synchrotron/en/ |
| Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center | Japan | http://www.hsrc.hiroshima-u.ac.jp/index.html |
| Photon Factory | Japan | http://pftw.kek.jp/ |
| Ritsumeikan University SR Center | Japan | http://www.ritsumei.ac.jp/acd/re/src/index.htm |
| Saga Light Source | Japan | http://www.saga-ls.jp/?page=206 |
| SPring-8 | Japan | http://www.spring8.or.jp/en/ |
| Ultraviolet Synchrotron Orbital Radiation Facility | Japan | http://www.uvsor.lms.ac.jp/defaultE.html |



<http://www.lightsources.org/regions>

| | | |
|--|----------------|---|
| Synchrotron-light for Experimental Science and Applications in the Middle East | Jordan | http://www.sesame.org.jo/sesame/ |
| Pohang Light Source | Korea | http://paleng.postech.ac.kr |
| Dubna Electron Synchrotron | Russia | http://wwwinfo.jinr.ru/delsy/ |
| Kurchatov Synchrotron Radiation Source | Russia | http://www.nrcki.ru/e/engl.html |
| Siberian Synchrotron Research Centre | Russia | http://ssrc.inp.nsk.su/ |
| TNK | Russia | http://www.niif.ru/page/sinhtrotron |
| Singapore Synchrotron Light Source | Singapore | http://ssls.nus.edu.sg/index.html |
| ALBA | Spain | http://www.cells.es/ |
| MAX IV Laboratory | Sweden | https://www.maxiv.se |
| Swiss Light Source | Switzerland | http://www.psi.ch/sls/ |
| National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center | Taiwan | http://www.nsrrc.org.tw/ |
| Synchrotron Light Research Institute | Thailand | http://www.slri.or.th |
| Diamond Light Source | United Kingdom | http://www.diamond.ac.uk/ |
| Advanced Light Source | USA | https://als.lbl.gov/ |
| Advanced Photon Source | USA | http://www.aps.anl.gov |
| Center for Advanced Microstructures and Devices | USA | http://www.camd.lsu.edu/ |
| Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source | USA | http://www.chess.cornell.edu/ |
| National Synchrotron Light Source II | USA | http://www.bnl.gov/ps/ |
| Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource | USA | http://www-ssrl.slac.stanford.edu |
| Synchrotron Ultraviolet Radiation Facility | USA | http://physics.nist.gov/MajResFac/SURF/SURF/index.html |




SR Light Sources Worldwide



MAX IV, 3 GeV, Sweden



NSLS II, 3 GeV, BNL, USA



Diamond, 3 GeV, England



ALBA, 3 GeV, Spain

SR Light Sources Worldwide



SSRF, China, 3.5 GeV



Soleil, France, 2.75 GeV



SLS, Switzerland, 2.4 GeV



PLS, Korea, 3 GeV



Australian Synchrotron, 3 GeV



BESSY II, Germany, 1.7 GeV



NSRRC, Taiwan, 3GeV



Indus II, India, 2.5GeV




SESAME, Jordan.....

What matters

- Rarely there is interest just a radiation power
- Typically people are interested in specific energy of photons (wavelength of radiation)

$$E_{ph} = \hbar\omega = \hbar c \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$



$$E_{ph}[keV] \approx \frac{12.4}{\lambda[\text{\AA}]}; E_{ph}[eV] \approx \frac{1.24}{\lambda[\mu m]}$$




- $1 \text{\AA} = 10^{-10} \text{m}$ (0.1 nm or 100 pm), 12.4 keV photons

Figures of merit of light source

- Photon flux or spectral photon flux $\dot{N}_\omega = \frac{d^2 N}{dt(d\omega/\omega)}$
- Brightness of the source $B = \frac{d^4 N}{dtd\Omega dA(d\omega/\omega)}$



Sources of Spontaneous Radiation



Bending Magnet:

$$\hbar\omega_c = \frac{3e\hbar B\gamma^2}{2m} \quad P = \frac{e^2 c}{6\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^2}$$

Wiggler:

$$\hbar\omega_c = \frac{3e\hbar B\gamma^2}{2m}$$

$$n_c = \frac{3K}{4} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)$$

$$P_T = \frac{\pi e K^2 \gamma^2 I N}{3\epsilon_0 \lambda_u}$$

SI units

Undulator:

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)$$


$$K = \frac{e B_0 \lambda_u}{2\pi m c}$$

$$\theta_{cen} = \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}}$$

$$\left. \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right|_{cen} = \frac{1}{N}$$


$$\bar{P}_{cen} = \frac{\pi e \gamma^2 I}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u} \frac{K^2}{\left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)^2} f(K)$$

Comparison of angular spread and radiation bandwidth of different synchrotron radiation sources.



Courtesy of D. Attwood

Circular orbit



$$\dot{a} = -\frac{v^2}{\rho} \hat{\rho} \Rightarrow \dot{\vec{\beta}} = -\frac{\beta^2 c}{\rho} \hat{\rho}$$

$$P(t_r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{c} \gamma^6 \dot{\beta}^2 (1 - \beta^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2e^2 c \beta^4 \gamma^4}{3\rho^2}$$

For a storage ring, the energy loss per turn:

$$U_0 = \int_C P(t_r) dt = \frac{1}{\beta c} \int_C P(t_r) ds = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2e^2 \beta^3 \gamma^4}{3} \int_C \frac{1}{\rho^2} ds$$

If all dipoles in the storage ring has the same bending radius (iso-magnetic case):

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2e^2 \beta^3 \gamma^4}{3} \frac{2\pi\rho}{\rho^2} = \frac{e^2 \beta^3 \gamma^4}{3\epsilon_0 \rho}$$

Power radiated by a beam of average current I_b :

$$P_{beam} = U_0 \frac{I_b}{e} = \frac{e\beta^3 \gamma^4}{3\epsilon_0 \rho} I_b$$



Energy spectrum V

- The total energy spectrum is obtained by integrating over the solid angle:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW}{d\omega} &= 2\pi \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d^2 I(\omega)}{d\omega d\Omega} \cos \theta d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} \int_{-\gamma\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\gamma\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d^2 I(\omega)}{d\omega d\Omega} d(\gamma\theta) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{3e^2\gamma}{2\pi c} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+y^2)^2 \left\{ \frac{y^2}{(1+y^2)} K_{\frac{1}{3}}^2 \left(\frac{\omega}{2\omega_c} (1+y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) + K_{\frac{2}{3}}^2 \left(\frac{\omega}{2\omega_c} (1+y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right\} dy \end{aligned}$$

Critical frequency


$$\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \gamma^3 \frac{c}{\rho} \approx \frac{3}{2} \gamma^3 \omega_0$$



A more concise and popular expression for the energy spectrum:

$$\frac{dW}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sqrt{3} \frac{e^2\gamma}{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \int_{\omega/\omega_c}^{\infty} K_{\frac{5}{3}}(x) dx$$

SR from Bending Magnet: simple considerations




$$\theta \sim \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

$$t_r = 0$$

$\vec{\beta}$

A

$$t_r = L/c$$




$$L\beta = \frac{R}{\gamma} \Rightarrow L = \frac{R}{\beta\gamma}$$

$$2\Delta\tau = \frac{ct}{c} = \frac{L}{c}(1-\beta) \approx \frac{L}{2\gamma^2 c} = \frac{R}{2\beta c \gamma^3} \approx \frac{R}{2\gamma^3 c}$$



$$\Delta\omega \sim \frac{1}{\Delta\tau} \sim \frac{\gamma^3 c}{R}$$

$$\epsilon_c \equiv \hbar\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar c \gamma^3}{\rho}$$



Courtesy of D. Attwood

SR from bending magnet (dipole magnet)



$$E_c = \hbar\omega_c = \frac{3e\hbar B\gamma^2}{2m} \quad (5.7a)$$

$$E_c(\text{keV}) = 0.6650 E_e^2(\text{GeV}) B(\text{T}) \quad (5.7b)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{E_e}{mc^2} = 1957 E_e(\text{GeV}) \quad (5.5)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^3 F_B}{d\theta d\psi d\omega/\omega} \right|_{\psi=0} = 1.33 \times 10^{13} E_e^2(\text{GeV}) I(\text{A}) H_2(E/E_c) \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mrad}^2 \cdot (0.1\% \text{ BW})} \quad (5.6)$$

$$H_2(y) = y^2 K_{2/3}^2(y/2)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^2 F_B}{d\theta d\omega/\omega} \right|_{\psi=0} = 2.46 \times 10^{13} E_e(\text{GeV}) I(\text{A}) G_1(E/E_c) \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mrad} \cdot (0.1\% \text{ BW})} \quad (5.8)$$



$$G_1(y) = y \int_y^\infty K_{5/3}(y') dy'$$

Courtesy of D. Attwood

SR spectrum

$$\epsilon_c \equiv \hbar\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar c \gamma^3}{\rho}$$

$$P = \frac{e^2 c}{6\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^2}$$




Frequency distribution of the energy radiated

$$\frac{dW}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sqrt{3} \frac{e^2 \gamma}{c} \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \int_{\omega/\omega_c}^{\infty} K_{5/3}(x) dx$$

$$\frac{dW}{d\omega} = \frac{2e^2 \gamma}{9\varepsilon_0 c} S\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}\right) \quad S(y) = \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{8\pi} y \int_y^{\infty} K_{5/3}(x) dx$$

Summary of radiation generated with Bending magnets



$$E_c = \hbar\omega_c = \frac{3e\hbar B\gamma^2}{2m} \quad (5.7a)$$

$$E_c(\text{keV}) = 0.6650 E_e^2 (\text{GeV}) B (\text{T}) \quad (5.7b)$$


$$\frac{d^2 F_B}{d\theta d\omega/\omega} = 2.46 \times 10^{13} E_e (\text{GeV}) I (\text{A}) G_1 (E/E_c) \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mrad} \cdot (0.1\% \text{BW})} \quad (5.8)$$

Advantages:


- covers broad spectral range
- least expensive
- most accessible

Disadvantages:

- limited coverage of hard x-rays
- not as bright as undulator



Undulator/Wiggler



In addition to the SR from dipoles, modern light sources have many long straight sections with zero dispersion function.

They are frequently used for undulators and wigglers.


Undulators and wigglers collect radiation from multiple poles: the difference is in coherence of generated radiation

Example: NSLS II


of DBA cells: 30

of 5m straights: 15

of 8m straights: 15




How wiggler/undulator looks like




ALS U5 undulator, beamline 7.0, $N = 89$, $\lambda_u = 50 \text{ mm}$

Helical wiggler for CeC PoP



Electron Motion inside planar Undulator

Bending Magnet




$$B = B_y = B_0 \cos \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}$$

$$v_x = \frac{eB_0\lambda_u}{2\pi m\gamma} \sin \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u} \equiv \frac{Kc}{\gamma} \sin \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}$$

$$K = \frac{eB_0\lambda_u}{2\pi mc} = 0.934 B_0 [T] \lambda_u [cm]$$

Wave length of undulator radiation

**Laboratory Frame
of Reference**




$$E = \gamma mc^2$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

$$N = \# \text{ periods}$$


**Frame of
Moving e^-**



e^- radiates at the Lorentz contracted wavelength:

$$\lambda' = \frac{\lambda_u}{\gamma}$$

**Frame of
Observer**



Doppler shortened wavelength on axis:

$$\lambda = \lambda' \gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} (1 + \gamma^2 \theta^2)$$

Accounting for transverse motion due to the periodic magnetic field:

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)$$

where $K = eB_0 \lambda_u / 2\pi mc$


Equation of motion for electrons

Magnetic fields in the periodic undulator cause the electrons to oscillate and thus radiate. These magnetic fields also slow the electrons axial (z) velocity somewhat, reducing both the Lorentz contraction and the Doppler shift, so that the observed radiation wavelength is not quite so short. The force equation for an electron is

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -e(E + v \times B) \quad (5.16)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \gamma mv$ is the momentum. The radiated fields are relatively weak so that

$$\frac{dp}{dt} \approx -e(v \times B)$$



Taking to first order $v \approx v_z$, motion in the x-direction is

$$m\gamma \frac{dv_x}{dt} = +ev_z B_y$$

$$m\gamma \frac{dv_x}{dt} = e \frac{dz}{dt} \cdot B_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \quad (0 \leq z \leq N\lambda_u)$$

$$m\gamma dv_x = e dz B_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right)$$

Transverse velocity of electrons

$$m\gamma dv_x = e dz B_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right)$$

integrating both sides

$$\begin{aligned} m\gamma v_x &= eB_0 \frac{\lambda_u}{2\pi} \int \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \cdot d\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \\ m\gamma v_x &= \frac{eB_0\lambda_u}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

$$v_x = \frac{Kc}{\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \quad (5.19)$$

$$K \equiv \frac{eB_0\lambda_u}{2\pi mc} = 0.9337 B_0(T) \lambda_u (\text{cm}) \quad (5.18)$$

is the non-dimensional “magnetic deflection parameter.”
The “deflection angle”, θ , is

$$\theta = \frac{v_x}{v_z} \simeq \frac{v_x}{c} = \frac{K}{\gamma} \sin k_u z$$

Longitudinal velocity of electrons

In a magnetic field γ is a constant; to first order the electron neither gains nor loses energy.

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_x^2 + v_z^2}{c^2}}}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_z^2}{c^2} &= 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{v_x^2}{c^2} \\ \frac{v_z^2}{c^2} &= 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{K^2}{\gamma^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (5.22)$$

Taking the square root, to first order in the small parameter K/γ

$$\frac{v_z}{c} = 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} - \frac{K^2}{2\gamma^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right) \quad (5.23a)$$

Using the double angle formula $\sin^2 k_u z = (1 - \cos 2k_u z)/2$, where $k_u = 2\pi/\lambda_u$,

$$\frac{v_z}{c} = \underbrace{1 - \frac{1 + K^2/2}{2\gamma^2}}_{\text{Reduced axial velocity}} + \underbrace{\frac{K^2}{4\gamma^2} \cos\left(2 \cdot \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right)}_{\text{A double frequency component of the motion}}$$

The first two terms show the reduced axial velocity due to the finite magnetic field (K). The last term indicates the presence of harmonic motion, and thus harmonic frequencies of radiation.

Correction to radiation wavelength

Averaging the z-component of velocity over a full cycle (or N full cycles) gives

$$\frac{\bar{v}_z}{c} = 1 - \frac{1 + K^2/2}{2\gamma^2} \quad (5.25)$$

We can use this to define an effective Lorentz factor γ^* in the axial direction

$$\gamma^* \equiv \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + K^2/2}} \quad (5.26)$$

As a consequence, the observed wavelength in the laboratory frame of reference is modified from Eq. (5.12), taking the form

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^{*2}} (1 + \gamma^{*2}\theta^2)$$

that is, the Lorentz contraction and relativistic Doppler shift now involve γ^* rather than γ

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{1 + K^2/2} \theta^2 \right)$$


$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2\theta^2 \right) \quad (5.28)$$

where $K \equiv e B_0 \lambda_u / 2\pi m c$. This is the undulator equation, which describes the generation of short (x-ray) wavelength radiation by relativistic electrons traversing a periodic magnet structure, accounting for magnetic tuning (K) and off-axis ($\gamma\theta$) radiation. In practical units


$$\lambda(\text{nm}) = \frac{1.306\lambda_u(\text{cm}) \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2\theta^2 \right)}{E_e^2(\text{GeV})} \quad (5.29a)$$

Spectral Bandwidth of Undulator Radiation from a Single Electron

Radiated Wavetrain




Spectral Distribution




Bandwidth broadening due to Doppler shift for off-axis radiations

- The low frequency tail in spectrum can be removed by a pinhole.
- A natural choice of opening angle of radiation is '**central cone**', i.e. the broadening due to off-axis Doppler shift equals to the bandwidth due to finite duration of radiation train, $1/N$





Execution of N electron oscillations produces a transform-limited spectral bandwidth, $\Delta\omega'/\omega' = 1/N$.

The Doppler frequency shift has a strong angle dependence, leading to lower photon energies off-axis.




$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda(\theta_{cen}) - \lambda(0)}{\lambda(0)} = \frac{\frac{\lambda_u}{2} \theta_{cen}^2}{\frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)} = \frac{\gamma^2 \theta_{cen}^2}{1 + \frac{K^2}{2}} \\ \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{N} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \theta_{cen} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)} = \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}}$$





Calculating Power in the Central Radiation Cone: Using the well known “dipole radiation” formula by transforming to the frame of reference moving with the electrons


x, z, t laboratory frame of reference



x', z', t' frame of reference moving with the average velocity of the electron

Lorentz transformation

$$e^- \downarrow \lambda'_u = \frac{\lambda_u}{\gamma^*}$$



Determine x, z, t motion:

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -e (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

$$m\gamma \frac{dv_x}{dt} = e \frac{dz}{dt} B_0 \cos \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}$$

$$v_x(t); a_x(t) = \dots$$

$$v_z(t); a_z(t) = \dots$$

x', z', t' motion
 $a'(t')$ acceleration

Dipole radiation:


$$\frac{dP'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{e^2 a'^2 \sin^2 \Theta'}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 c^3}$$

$$\frac{dP'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{e^2 c \gamma^2}{4\epsilon_0 \lambda_u^2} \frac{K^2}{(1+K^2/2)^2} (1-\sin^2 \theta' \cos^2 \phi') \cos^2 \omega'_u t'$$


$$a_x' \approx \frac{K \omega_u'^2}{k_u \gamma} \cos(\omega_u' t')$$

$$\omega_u' = \gamma^* k_u c = \frac{\gamma k_u c}{(1+K^2/2)^{1/2}}$$

$$\sin^2 \Theta' = 1 - \sin^2 \theta' \cos^2 \phi'$$




Lorentz transformation






Calculating Power in the Central Radiation Cone: Using the well known “dipole radiation” formula by transforming to the frame of reference moving with the electrons (cont.)



Lorentz transformation



$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} = 8\gamma^{*2} \frac{dP'}{d\Omega'}$$

$$\frac{d\bar{P}}{d\Omega} = \frac{e^2 c \gamma^4}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u^2} \frac{K^2}{(1 + K^2/2)^3} \begin{cases} K \leq 1 \\ \theta \leq \theta_{cen} \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta\Omega_{cen} = \pi \theta_{cen}^2 = \pi/\gamma^{*2} N$$

$$\bar{P}_{cen} = \frac{\pi e^2 c \gamma^2}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u^2 N} \frac{K^2}{(1 + K^2/2)^2}$$

N_e uncorrelated electrons:

$$N_e = IL/ec, L = N\lambda_u$$

$$\bar{P}_{cen} = \frac{\pi e \gamma^2 I}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u} \frac{K^2}{(1 + K^2/2)^2}$$



Corrections to \bar{P}_{cen} for Finite K

Our formula for calculated power in the central radiation cone ($\theta_{\text{cen}} = 1/\gamma^* \sqrt{N}$, $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = 1/N$)

$$\bar{P}_{\text{cen}} \simeq \frac{\pi e \gamma^2 I}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u} \frac{K^2}{(1 + K^2/2)^2} \quad (5.39)$$

is strictly valid for $K \ll 1$. This restriction is due to our neglect of K^2 terms in the axial velocity v_z . The \bar{P}_{cen} formula, however, indicates a peak power at $K = \sqrt{2}$, suggesting that we explore extension of this very useful analytic result to somewhat higher K values. Kim* has studied undulator radiation for arbitrary K and finds an additional multiplicative factor, $f(K)$, which accounts for energy transfer to higher harmonics:

$$\bar{P}_{\text{cen}} = \frac{\pi e \gamma^2 I}{\epsilon_0 \lambda_u} \frac{K^2}{(1 + K^2/2)^2} f(K) \quad (5.41a)$$

where

$$f(K) = [J_0(x) - J_1(x)]^2 \quad (5.40a)$$

and

$$x = K^2/4(1 + K^2/2)$$

$$f(K) = 1 - x - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{3x^3}{8} + \dots \quad (5.40b)$$

| K | x | $f(K)$ |
|------------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0 | 1.000 |
| 0.5 | 0.0556 | 0.944 |
| 1.0 | 0.1667 | 0.828 |
| $\sqrt{2}$ | 0.2500 | 0.740 |
| 1.5 | 0.2647 | 0.725 |
| 2.0 | 0.3333 | 0.653 |
| 2.5 | 0.3788 | 0.606 |

* K.-J. Kim, "Characteristics of Synchrotron Radiation", pp. 565-632 in *Physics of Particle Accelerators* (AIP, New York, 1989), M. Month and M. Dienes, Editors.

Also see: P.J. Duke, *Synchrotron Radiation* (Oxford Univ. Press, UK, 2000).


A. Hofmann, "The Physics of Synchrotron Radiation" (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004).

Some examples for various light sources

(Tuning curves, i.e. change K to change wavelength)



Power in the Central Radiation Cone For Three Soft X-Ray Undulators




$$\theta_{cen} = \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}}$$

$$\left[\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right]_1 = \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\left[\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right]_3 = \frac{1}{3N}$$



Power in the Central Radiation Cone For Three X-Ray Undulators



$$\theta_{cen} = \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}}$$

$$\left[\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right]_1 = \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\left[\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right]_3 = \frac{1}{3N}$$

Courtesy of D. Attwood




Brightness and Spectral Brightness

Brightness is defined as radiated power per unit area and per unit solid angle at the source:

$$B = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta A \cdot \Delta \Omega} \quad (5.57)$$


Brightness is a conserved quantity in perfect optical systems, and thus is useful in designing beamlines and synchrotron radiation experiments which involve focusing to small areas.



Perfect optical system:
 $\Delta A_s \cdot \Delta \Omega_s = \Delta A_i \cdot \Delta \Omega_i ; \eta = 100\%$

Spectral brightness is that portion of the brightness lying within a relative spectral bandwidth $\Delta\omega/\omega$:

$$B_{\Delta\omega/\omega} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta A \cdot \Delta \Omega \cdot \Delta\omega/\omega} \quad (5.58)$$



Courtesy of D. Attwood

How electron beam parameters affects spectrum bandwidth?

$$\omega = \frac{4\pi c \gamma_e^2}{\lambda_u \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma_e^2 \theta^2 \right)}$$



$$\frac{\Delta E_{ph}}{E_{ph}} = \frac{2\Delta\gamma_e}{\gamma_e} \leq \frac{1}{N} \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta\gamma_e}{\gamma_e} < \frac{1}{2N}$$

To avoid significant bandwidth broadening due to electron beam quality, energy spread of electrons should be smaller than $1/N$, which can be easily satisfied for modern machine.


$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \gamma^{*2} \theta^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \Rightarrow \sigma'_{x,y} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}} \Rightarrow \sigma'_{x,y} \leq \theta_{cen}$$

Angular spread of electrons should be smaller than the central cone.

What defines Brightness?



Beam angular divergence (σ')



Preserving the spectral line shape of undulator radiation requires

$$\sigma'^2 \ll \theta_{cen}^2 \quad \theta_{cen} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma^* \sqrt{N}} \quad (5.55b)$$

Define effective, or total central cone half-angles

$$\theta_{Tx} = \sqrt{\theta_{cen}^2 + \sigma_x'^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{Ty} = \sqrt{\theta_{cen}^2 + \sigma_y'^2} \quad (5.56)$$

Courtesy of D. Attwood



Spectral Brightness of Undulator Radiation

The Synchrotron radiation community prefers to express spectral brightness in units of photons/sec, rather than power, and has standardized on a relative spectral bandwidth of $\Delta\omega/\omega = 10^{-3}$, or 0.1% BW. To obtain a relationship for spectral brightness of undulator radiation we can use our expression for \bar{P}_{cen} , radiated into a solid angle $\Delta\Omega = \pi\theta_{cen}^2 = \pi\theta_{Tx}\theta_{Ty}$, from an elliptically shaped source area of $\Delta A = \pi\sigma_x\sigma_y$, and within a relative spectral bandwidth $\Delta\omega/\omega = 1/N$. Defining the photon flux in the central radiation cone as

$$\bar{F}_{cen} = \frac{\bar{P}_{cen}}{\hbar\omega/\text{photon}}$$

$$\bar{P}_{cen} = \frac{\pi e\gamma^2 I}{\epsilon_0\lambda_u} \frac{K^2}{(1+K^2/2)^2} f(K)$$

$$\bar{B}_{\Delta\omega/\omega} = \frac{\bar{F}_{cen}}{\Delta A \cdot \Delta\Omega \cdot N^{-1}} = \frac{\bar{F}_{cen} \cdot (N/1000)}{\Delta A \cdot \Delta\Omega \cdot (0.1\%\text{BW})} \quad (5.60)$$

on-axis

$$\bar{B}_{\Delta\omega/\omega}(0) = \frac{\bar{F}_{cen} \cdot (N/1000)}{2\pi^2\sigma_x\sigma_y\theta_{Tx}\theta_{Ty}(0.1\%\text{BW})} \quad (5.64) \quad \theta_{cen} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma^*\sqrt{N}}$$

or

$$\bar{B}_{\Delta\omega/\omega}(0) = \frac{7.25 \times 10^6 \gamma^2 N^2 I(A)}{\sigma_x(\text{mm})\sigma_y(\text{mm}) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma'_x}{\theta_{cen}^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma'_y}{\theta_{cen}^2}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(1 + K^2/2\right)^2} \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mm}^2\text{mrad}^2(0.1\%\text{BW})} \quad (5.65)$$


Assumes $\sigma'^2 \ll \theta_{cen}^2$. Note the N^2 factor.

*One N from angular spread and the other from band width




Comments on Undulator Harmonics

First and second harmonic motions



Radiation patterns in the electron and laboratory frames



$$v_x = \frac{Kc}{\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi z}{\lambda_u}\right)$$

$$v_z = c \left[1 - \frac{1 + K^2/2}{2\gamma^2} + \frac{K^2}{4\gamma^2} \cos(2k_u z) \right]$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2 n} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right) \quad (5.30)$$

$$\left(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \right)_n = \frac{1}{nN} \quad (5.31)$$

How odd harmonics appear in radiation

Recall that the axial velocity has a double frequency component

$$v_z = c \left[1 - \frac{1 + K^2/2}{2\gamma^2} + \frac{K^2}{4\gamma^2} \cos(2k_u z) \right]$$

which in the frame of reference moving with the electrons, gives

$$z'(t') \simeq \frac{K^2}{8k'_u} \sin 2\omega'_u t' \quad (5.70)$$

where $k'_u = \gamma^* k_u$ and $\omega'_u = \gamma^* \omega_u$. The transverse motion in this frame is

$$x'(t') \simeq -\frac{K}{k_u \gamma} \cos \omega_u \gamma^* \left(t' + \frac{z'}{c} \right)$$

To a higher degree of accuracy, we now keep the z'/c term

$$x'(t') \simeq -\frac{K}{k'_u} \cos \left(\omega'_u t' + \frac{K^2}{8} \sin 2\omega'_u t' \right) \quad (5.71)$$

for small K $x'(t') \simeq -\frac{1}{k'_u} \left[K \cos \omega'_u t' + \frac{K^3}{16} \cos 3\omega'_u t' \right] \quad (5.72)$

Taking second derivatives to find acceleration, and squaring $|a'(t')|^2$


$$\frac{dP'}{d\Omega'} \propto n^4 K^{2n}$$

$$\frac{dP'}{d\Omega'} = \frac{e^2 a'^2 \sin^2 \Theta'}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 c^3}$$

Thus harmonics grow very rapidly for $K > 1$.



The Transition from Undulator Radiation ($K \leq 1$) to Wiggler Radiation ($K \gg 1$)




Undulator radiation ($K \lesssim 1$)

- Narrow spectral lines
- High spectral brightness
- Partial coherence

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)$$

$$K = \frac{eB_0\lambda_u}{2\pi mc}$$




Wiggler radiation ($K \gg 1$)

- Higher photon energies
- Spectral continuum
- Higher photon flux ($2N$)

$$\hbar\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar\gamma^2 e B_0}{m}$$

$$n_c = \frac{3K}{4} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)$$




(Courtesy of K.-J. Kim)

(Courtesy of R.P. Walker and B. Diviacco)



For Very Large $K \gg 1$, and Large Dq , a Continuum Emerges






Wiggler Radiation

At very high $K \gg 1$, the radiated energy appears in very high harmonics, and at rather large horizontal angles $\theta = \pm K/\gamma$ (eq. 5.21). Because the emission angles are large, one tends to use larger collection angles, which tends to spectrally merge nearby harmonics. The result is a continuum at very high photon energies, similar to that of bending magnet radiation, but increased by $2N$ (the number of magnet pole pieces).

$$E_c = \hbar\omega_c = \frac{3e\hbar B\gamma^2}{2m} ; \quad n_c = \frac{3K}{4} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2}\right) \quad (5.7a \& 82)$$

$$\frac{d^2F}{d\theta d\Psi d\omega/\omega} \Big|_0 = 2.65 \times 10^{13} N E_e^2 (\text{GeV}) I(\text{A}) H_2(E/E_c) \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mrad}^2 (0.1\% \text{BW})} \quad (5.86)$$

$$\frac{d^2F}{d\theta d\omega/\omega} = 4.92 \times 10^{13} N E_e (\text{GeV}) I(\text{A}) G_1(E/E_c) \frac{\text{photons/s}}{\text{mrad} \cdot (0.1\% \text{BW})} \quad (5.87)$$



Critical harmonic number

$$\omega_c = \frac{3eB\gamma^2}{2m} = \frac{3\pi c\gamma^2 K}{\lambda_u} \quad K = \frac{eB\lambda_u}{2\pi mc}$$

$$\omega_l = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda_l} = \frac{4\pi c\gamma^2}{\lambda_u (1 + K^2 / 2)}$$

$$n_c = \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_l} = \frac{3K}{4} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2}\right)$$



Typical Parameters for Synchrotron Radiation

| Facility | ALS | ELETTRA | Australian Synchrotron | APS |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Electron energy | 1.90 GeV | 2.0 GeV | 3.0 GeV | 7.00 GeV |
| γ | 3720 | 3910 | 5871 | 13,700 |
| Current (mA) | 400 | 300 | 200 | 100 |
| Circumference (m) | 197 | 259 | 216 | 1100 |
| RF frequency (MHz) | 500 | 500 | 500 | 352 |
| Pulse duration (FWHM) (ps) | 35-70 | 37 | ~100 | 100 |
| <i>Bending Magnet Radiation:</i> | | | | |
| Bending magnet field (T) | 1.27 | 1.2 | 1.31 | 0.599 |
| Critical photon energy (keV) | 3.05 | 3.2 | 7.84 | 19.5 |
| Critical photon wavelength | 0.407 nm | 0.39 nm | 1.58 Å | 0.636 Å |
| Bending magnet sources | 24 | 12 | 28 | 35 |
| <i>Undulator Radiation:</i> | | | | |
| Number of straight sections | 12 | 12 | 14 | 40 |
| Undulator period (typical) (cm) | 5.00 | 5.6 | 22.0 | 3.30 |
| Number of periods | 89 | 81 | 80 | 72 |
| Photon energy ($K = 1, n = 1$) | 457 eV | 452 eV | 2.59 keV | 9.40 keV |
| Photon wavelength ($K = 1, n = 1$) | 2.71 nm | 2.74 nm | 0.478 nm | 1.32 Å |
| Tuning range ($n = 1$) | 230-620 eV | 2.0-6.7 nm | 0.319-0.835 nm | 3.5-12 keV |
| Tuning range ($n = 3$) | 690-1800 eV | 0.68-2.2 nm | 0.106-0.278 nm | 10-38 keV |
| Central cone half-angle ($K = 1$) | 35 µrad | 35 µrad | 23 µrad | 11 µrad |
| Power in central cone ($K = 1, n = 1$) (W) | 2.3 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 12 |
| Flux in central cone (photons/s) | 3.1×10^{16} | 2.3×10^{16} | 1.6×10^{16} | 7.9×10^{15} |
| σ_x, σ_y (µm) | 260, 16 | 255, 23 | 320, 16 | 320, 50 |
| σ'_x, σ'_y (µrad) | 23, 3.9 | 31, 9 | 34, 6 | 23, 7 |
| Brightness ($K = 1, n = 1$) ^a [(photons/s)/mm ² · mrad ² · (0.1%BW)] | 2.3×10^{19} | 9.9×10^{18} | 1.3×10^{19} | 5.9×10^{18} |
| Total power ($K = 1, \text{all } n, \text{all } \theta$) (W) | 83 | 126 | 476 | 350 |
| Other undulator periods (cm) | 3.65, 8.00, 10.0 | 8.0, 12.5 | 6.8, 18.3 | 2.70, 5.50, 12.8 |
| <i>Wiggler Radiation:</i> | | | | |
| Wiggler period (typical) (cm) | 16.0 | 14.0 | 6.1 | 8.5 |
| Number of periods | 19 | 30 | 30 | 28 |
| Magnetic field (maximum) (T) | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| K (maximum) | 32 | 19.6 | 12 | 7.9 |
| Critical photon energy (keV) | 5.1 | 4.0 | 11.4 keV | 33 |
| Critical photon wavelength | 0.24 nm | 0.31 nm | 0.11 nm | 0.38 Å |
| Total power (max. K) (kW) | 13 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 7.4 |

^aUsing Eq. (5.65). See comments following Eq. (5.64) for the case where $\sigma'_{x,y} \approx \theta_{cen}$.



What are the Relative Merits?



Bending magnet radiation

- Broad spectrum
- Good photon flux
- No heat load
- Less expensive
- Easier access

Wiggler radiation

- Higher photon energies
- More photon flux
- Expensive magnet structure
- Expensive cooled optics
- Less access

Undulator radiation

- Brighter radiation
- Smaller spot size
- Partial coherence
- Expensive
- Less access

What we learned?

- SR has a wide variety of applications
- Light sources are mostly storage ring based
- Bending magnet SR is broad band, high power, but not very bright when compared to
- Undulator radiation – which is brightest between sources: its spectral brightness is proportional square of the number of periods
- Undulators can produce also very bright radiation on harmonics
- Wiggler is an undulator with very large field whose harmonics are overlapped (because of the electron beam parameters!) and it power and brightness is proportional to number of periods
- Ultimately, electron beam parameters (beam current, emittances and energy spread) are determining performance of the light sources